

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/10/2002

ADDI ICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO. 09/733,748	12/07/2000	Akbar Arab-Sadeghabadi	LIT3-BL99	4786
75			EXAM	INER
James F. Kirk Price And Gess			WANG, GEORGE Y	
Suite 250. 2100 S.E. Main Street Irvine, CA 92614-6238			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2882	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>(3)</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		ARAB-SADEGHABAD	NET AI			
2 m	09/733,748	Art Unit				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	2882				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	George Y. Wang		ss			
The MAILING DATE of this communication (appears on the cover sheet	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of triod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum the complexition to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this comm ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
	This action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unit Disposition of Claims	der Ex parte Quayle, 1933	natters, prosecution as to the r C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	nerits is			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.					
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.	ou the Everiner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Applicant may not request that any objection 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _	to the drawing(s) be neight at	☐ disapproved by the Examiner				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is a) approved b)	_ dioappiorou a, asse				
If approved, corrected drawings are required						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Exammer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	to a mais with condox 25 H S	C & 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority under 33 0.5.	0. 3 110(a) (a) 0. (.).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies	not received.				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	ne provisional application ha	as been received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	48) 5) Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s ce of Informal Patent Application (PTC er:	s) ·)-152)			

Art Unit: 2882

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is too long. An abstract should be less than 150 words or 15 lines. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-2 and 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Adl (U.S. Patent No. 4,834,479).

Adl discloses a pressure vessel (fig. 1, ref. 20) that has a tubular casing (fig. 1, ref. 27) with an internal cavity (fig. 1, ref. 32) capable of withstanding extreme hydrostatic pressures (col. 1, lines 59-66) and temperature (col. 3, lines 28-38), an opening in both ends (fig. 1) permitting optical fiber cables (fig. 1, ref. 22, 37), made of core and cladding, to access the cavity, and a plug region (fig. 1, ref. 34), with throughholes (fig. 1, ref. 40) for fiber passage, near the opening. Because the cavity is

Art Unit: 2882

cylindrical (col. 2, lines 11-16), the cross section of the cavity and the plug that fits into the cavity has a circular cross section.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 3 and 6-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adl in view of Beyer et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,212,989, from hereinafter "Beyer").

Art Unit: 2882

6. As to claims 9-11, 16-17, and 20, Adl discloses a plug that is snugly fastened to form a stop or barrier against the side of the cavity wall so as to contribute to fluid blockage and further having a through-hole that provides passage for optical fibers.

However, Adl fails to specifically disclose a plug made of ceramic adhesive that uses an adhesive to seal the opening where the fiber is inserted into the plug.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an adhesive for sealing the fiber to a ceramic plug since one would be motivated by ease of manufacture. Adl teaches that a seal without resorting to adhesives is as effective, if not more, than one with adhesive (col. 5, lines 13-19), since Adl recognizes that adhesives, such as epoxies, are subject to failure during use (col. 5, lines 13-19). One of ordinary skill in the art would agree that adhesives are not ideal for sealing effectiveness. Instead, an adhesive would serve to enhance the ease of manufacture. For example, just as Adl teaches a beveled through-hole for ease of manufacture (col. 2, lines 35-38), fixation of the fiber to the plug through-hole by using adhesive merely provides permanence after the fiber is aligned and fix in its proper place. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply an adhesive to secure the fiber after proper alignment, thereby facilitating the manufacturing process for pressure vessels.

7. Regarding claims 3, 6, and 13-14, Adl discloses a tapered cylindrically cavity and a plug that fits in that cavity. However Adl does not specifically disclose a plug region that diminishes in diameter as its distance from the opening increases.

Art Unit: 2882

Beyer discloses a plug window that diminishes in diameter as its distance from the opening increases (fig. 2A, ref. 10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a plug that diminishes in diameter as its distance from the opening increases as suggested by Beyer since one would be motivated by maximum sealing capability. Having a truncated conical shape ensures a geometric seal allowing a circumferentially engaging surface seal (col. 5, lines 3-15).

8. As to claims 7-8, 12, 15, and 18-19, Adl teaches a plug region with a through-hole for fiber passage near the opening of the pressure vessel. However, the reference fails to specifically teach a threaded, irregular surface region for frictional engagement of a steel plug to a steel cavity.

Beyer discloses a threaded, irregular surface region for frictional engagement of a steel plug to a steel cavity (col. 6, lines 26-46).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a threaded, irregular surface region for frictional engagement of a steel plug to a steel cavity as suggested by Beyer since one would be motivated by optimum sealing capability. The use of threads (fig. 2A, ref. 40) on high-strength steel facilitates the attachment and the sealing of the irregular-surfaced plug to the cavity (col. 6, lines 26-40). This engagement of pressure vessel components ensures secure fitting by maximizing frictional forces (col. 6, lines 41-46).

Art Unit: 2882

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Y. Wang whose telephone number is 703-305-7242. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 703-305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

gw April 4, 2002 ROBERT H. KIM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
JECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800